Baylis-Hillman Reaction of Arylaldehydes with Phenyl Vinyl Ketone, Phenyl Acrylate, and Phenyl Thioacrylate

by Min Shi*, Chao-Qun Li, and Jian-Kang Jiang

State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Lu, Shanghai 200032 China (Fax: 86-21-64166128; e-mail: mshi@pub.sioc.ac.cn)

In the Baylis-Hillman reaction of aryl aldehydes with phenyl vinyl ketone, we found that the diadduct 4 was exclusively formed, and that the yield of 4 can reach 80% with increasing amounts of phenyl vinyl ketone. But, for phenyl acrylate and phenyl thioacrylate, only the normal Baylis-Hillman adduct was obtained. The substituent effects were also examined, and a plausible reaction mechanism was proposed for the formation of 4.

Introduction. – Great progress has made in the execution of the *Baylis-Hillman* reaction [1], for which a catalytic asymmetric version has been published [2], since Baylis and Hillman first reported in 1972 the reaction of acetaldehyde with ethyl acrylate and acrylonitrile in the presence of catalytic amounts of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) [3]. However, during our own investigation of this simple and useful reaction [4], we found that, in the reaction of arylaldehydes with methyl vinyl ketone (MVK) catalyzed by DABCO, the reaction products are not as simple as those reported before. For example, using p -nitrobenzaldehyde (1.0 equiv.) and MVK (2.0 equiv.) as substrates in the presence of catalytic amounts of DABCO (0.1 equiv.) in DMSO or DMF, we found that, besides the normal $Baylis-Hillman$ reaction product $1a$, compound 2a was also formed at the same time as a $2:3$ mixture of syn- and antiisomers (*Scheme 1*) [5], and the substituent effects of arylaldehydes have been extensively examined [5]. This interesting result stimulated us to further examine the influence of the R group of the *Baylis-Hillman* acceptor $(C=C-C(O)R)$ on this reaction. Thus, we synthesized phenyl vinyl ketone (PVK) [6], phenyl acrylate [7], and phenyl thioacrylate [8] as Baylis-Hillman acceptors and carefully examined the reaction products formed under the traditional *Baylis-Hillman* reaction conditions.

Results and Discussion. $-$ We found that, in the reaction of p -nitrobenzaldehyde (1.0 equiv.) with PVK (1.0 equiv.) in the presence of DABCO (10 mol.) in DMF, the corresponding Baylis-Hillman adduct $3a$ (i.e., the normal Baylis-Hillman adduct) was not formed at all. The major reaction product was the 1:2 adduct **4a** as a mixture of synand *anti*-isomers, along with some PVK dimer (*Scheme 2*). Of course, as expected, **4a** was obtained in higher yields when 1.0 equiv. p-nitrobenzaldehyde and 2 equiv. of PVK were used in the presence of DABCO (10 mol-%). Results are summarized in Table 1. When the reaction was carried out in DMSO, THF, or CH_2Cl_2 , similar results were obtained (Table 1, Entries $1-3$). With DMAP as the Lewis base under the same reaction conditions, **4a** was obtained in lower yields (*Table 1, Entries 4* and 5). Increasing

Table 1. Baylis-Hillman Reactions of p-Nitrobenzaldehyde (1.0 equiv.) with PVK (2.0 equiv.) in the Presence of a Lewis Base (0.1 equiv.)

^a) Yield of isolated product. ^b) syn/anti 2:3. ^c) p-Nitrobenzaldehyde/PVK 1:3. ^d) p-Nitrobenzaldehyde/PVK $1:4.$

the amounts of PVK did not improve the yields of $4a$ (Table 1, Entries 6 and 7). At lower temperature (-30°) , 4a was obtained in 70% yield (*Table 1, Entry 9*), and, with PBu₃ as the Lewis base, only traces of $4a$ were obtained. The optimized reaction conditions were found to be 1.0 equiv. arylaldehyde in reaction with 2.0 equiv. PVK in the presence of 10mol-% DABCO in DMF.

We next investigated the reactions of other arylaldehydes with PVK under the optimized reaction conditions (*Scheme 3*). With electron deficient arylaldehydes, such as nitrobenzaldehydes or pyridylaldehydes, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give 4 in good yield. However, with p-chlorobenzaldehyde or benzaldehyde, only trace amounts of the 1:2 adduct 4 were obtained and the PVK dimer was formed almost exclusively (Scheme 3, Table 2) [7]. In all cases, the normal Baylis-Hillman adduct 3 was not formed.

Table 2. Baylis-Hillman Reactions of Arylaldehydes (1.0 equiv.) with PVK (2.0 equiv.) in the Presence of the Lewis Base DABCO (0.1 equiv.) in DMF at 20°

In addition, the Baylis-Hillman reactions with phenyl acrylate or phenyl thioacrylate as the acceptor were also examined (Schemes 4 and 5). With phenyl acrylate as the acceptor, the normal Baylis-Hillman adduct 5 was obtained exclusively in most cases (Table 3, Entries 1, 2, and $4-8$). Only in the reaction of o-nitrobenzaldehyde with phenyl acrylate was diadduct 6c formed in 29% yield (Table 3, Entry 3). However, with phenyl thioacrylate as a Baylis-Hillman acceptor, only in the reaction of pchlorobenzaldehyde with phenyl thioacrylate was the corresponding Baylis-Hillman

Table 3. Baylis-Hillman Reactions of Arylaldehydes (1.0 equiv.) with Phenyl Acrylate (2.0 equiv.) in the Presence of the Lewis Base DABCO (0.1 equiv.) at 20°

adduct 7 obtained in good yield (Scheme 5). The reactions of other arylaldehydes with phenyl thioacrylate either are very sluggish or gave many unidentified products.

In the traditional Baylis-Hillman reaction, in which PVK is used as the acceptor, the exclusive formation of diadduct has never been reported before. To clarify the mechanism for formation of 4, we carried out reactions of p -nitrobenzaldehyde (1.0equiv.) with PVK dimer (1.0equiv.) in the presence of catalytic amounts of DABCO (0.1 equiv.). As we found that no reactions occurred under these conditions (Scheme 6), we believe that the diadduct 4 was derived from a second reaction of the normal Baylis-Hillman adduct 3 with PVK. In Scheme 7, we formulate a plausible reaction mechanism. Two reactions occur for the traditional Baylis-Hillman reaction of arylaldehydes with PVK. One is the normal Baylis-Hillman reaction, which involves the 1,2-addition of the PVK-derived anion to p-nitrobenzaldehyde. Another is the conjugated addition (Michael addition) of the anion derived from a second molecule of PVK to **3** via intermediate **4**' (Scheme 7). Compared to MVK, the phenyl group of PVK can significantly stabilize the enolate formed, including the intermediate $4'$ (Scheme 7). Thus, the normal *Baylis-Hillman* adduct 3 formed can more easily undertake the next conjugate addition (Michael addition) of the anion derived from the second molecule of PVK to afford exclusively the diadduct 4.

Conclusions. - We found that, in the Baylis-Hillman reaction of arylaldehydes with PVK, diadduct 4 was exclusively formed and was confirmed to be derived from a second reaction of the normal *Baylis-Hillman* adduct with PVK via a conjugated addition reaction. On the other hand, with phenyl acrylate or phenyl thioacrylate as an acceptor, only the normal Baylis-Hillman reaction products were produced. Efforts are currently underway to elucidate the mechanistic details of this reaction and to determine its scope and limitations.

Experimental Part

General. Commercially obtained reagents were used without further purification. Org. solvents were dried by standard methods when necessary. All reactions were monitored by TLC on *Huanghai* GF_{254} silica-gelcoated plates. Flash column chromatography (FC) was carried out with $200 - 300$ -mesh silica gel at increased pressure. M.p.: Yanagimoto micro-melting-point apparatus; uncorrected. IR: KBr; v in cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR: Bruker AM-300 spectrometer; in CDCl₃; δ in ppm relative to SiMe₄ as internal standard; J in Hz. MS and HR-MS: HP-5989 and Finnigan $MA +$ mass spectrometer, resp. Some of the solid compounds reported in this paper gave satisfactory CHN microanalyses with a Carlo-Erba 1106 Analyzer.

Typical Procedure for the Baylis-Hillman Reaction. To a soln. of DABCO (6 mg, 0.05 mmol) and p-nitrobenzaldehyde (76 mg, 0.50 mmol) in DMF (0.50 ml) was added PVK (132 mg, 1.0 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 60 h. The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (10.0 ml) and washed with H₂O (3 \times 10.0 ml). The org. layer was dried (anh. $MgSO₄$), the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by FC (SiO₂; AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:4) to give 4a (180 mg, 88%, *syn/anti* 2:3) and PVK dimer (25 mg, 14%) as a colorless oil. The *syn/anti* ratio of 4a was determined from the ¹H-NMR spectral data based on the J values of H^a and H^b (Scheme 1); the anti-isomer usually has a larger J value (for anti-4a: $J(H^a, H^b) = 4.6$, for syn-4a: $J(H^a, H^b) = 2.8$).

Data of syn-2-[(Hydroxy)(4-nitrophenyl)methyl]-4-methylidene-1,5-diphenylpentane-1,5-dione (syn-4a): IR: 1649, 1668 (C=O). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 2.86–2.93 (*m*, CH₂); 4.09 (*d*, *J* = 2.6, OH); 4.20–4.30 (m, CH) ; 5.16 (dd, J = 2.8, 2.6, CH); 5.55 (s, 1 H); 5.82 (s, 1 H); 7.20 – 7.60 (m, 10 arom. H); 7.87 (d, J = 8.6, 2 arom. H); 8.10 (d, J = 8.6, 2 arom. H). EI-MS: 397 (0.2, $[M - 18]^+$), 378 (0.2, $[M - 37]^+$), 159 (50.1, $[M - 18]^+$) 256]⁺), 105 (100, [*M* – 310]⁺). EI-HR-MS: 415.1411 (*M*⁺, C₂₅H₂₁NO₅, calc. 415.1420).

Data of anti-4a: IR: 1649, 1668 (C=O). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): 2.93–3.10 (*m*, CH₂); 4.30–4.40 (m, CH) ; 4.42 $(d, J = 8.2, OH)$; 5.06 $(dd, J = 8.2, 4.6, CH)$; 5.74 $(s, 1 H)$; 6.07 $(s, 1 H)$; 7.20 - 7.60 $(m, 10$ arom. H); 7.87 $(d, J = 8.6, 2 \text{ arom. H})$; 8.10 $(d, J = 8.6, 2 \text{ arom. H})$. EI-MS: 397 $(0.2, [M - 18]^+)$, 378 $(0.2, [M - 18]^+)$ 37]⁺), 159 (50.1, [*M* - 256]⁺), 105 (100, [*M* - 310]⁺). EI-HR-MS: 415.1411 (*M*⁺, C₂₅H₂₁NO₅, calc. 415.1420).

PVK Dimer could be obtained as a colorless oil from PVK in the presence of DABCO. IR: 1650, 1680 $(C=O)$. ¹H-NMR $(CDCl_3$, 300 MHz): 2.91 $(t, J = 7.3, CH_2)$; 3.23 $(t, J = 7.3$ Hz, $CH_2)$; 5.67 $(s, 1 H)$; 5.96 $(s, 1 H)$; 7.30 - 7.55 (m, 6 arom. H); 7.70 - 7.75 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.90 - 8.0 (m, 2 arom. H). EI-MS: 264 (1.0, M^+), 159 (63.8, $[M-105]^+$), 105 (100, $[M-159]^+$), 77 (54.2, $[M-187]^+$). EI-HR-MS: 264.1142 (M^+ , C₁₈H₁₆O₂, calc. 264.1150).

Data of 2-[(Hydroxy)(4-nitrophenyl)methyl]-1-phenoxyprop-2-en-1-one (5a): Colorless oil. IR: 1723 $(C=O)$. ¹H-NMR $(CDCl_3$, 300 MHz): 3.28 $(d, J=5.6, OH)$; 5.75 $(d, J=5.6, CH)$; 6.12 $(s, 1 H)$; 6.68 $(s, 1 H)$; 6.98 – 7.10 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.20 – 7.35 (m, 1 arom. H); 7.34 – 7.50 (m, 2 arom. H); 7.63 (d, $J = 8.5$, 2 arom. H); 8.23 $(d, J = 8.5, 2 \text{ arom. H})$. EI-MS: 281 (6.0, $[M - 18]^+$). EI-HR-MS: 299.0788 (M^+ , C₁₆H₁₃NO₅, calc. 299.0794).

We thank the State Key Project of Basic Research (Project 973, No. G2000048007) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20025206) for financial support. We also thank the Inoue Photochirogenesis Project (ERATO, JST) for chemical reagents.

REFERENCES

[1] a) E. Ciganek, Org. React. 1997, 51, 201; b) D. Basavaiah, P. D. Rao, R. S. Hyma, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8001; c) S. E. Drewes, G. H. P. Roos, Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 4653; d) L. J. Brzezinski, S. Rafel, J. M. Leahy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4317; e) T. Miyakoshi, S. Saito, Nippon Kagaku Kaishi 1983, 1623; Chem. Abstr. 1984, 100, 156191g; f) I. E. Marko, P. G. Giles, N. J. Hindley, Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 1015; g) H. Richter, G. Jung, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 2729; h) A. G. M. Barrett, A. S. Cook, A. Kamimura, Chem. Commun. 1999, 2533; i) E. P. Kunidig, L. H. Xu, P. Romanens, G. Bernardinelli, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7049; j) V. Aggarwal, A. Mereu, G. J. Tarver, R. MaCague, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7183; k) M. Kawamura, S. Kobayashi, Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 1539.

^[2] Y. Iwabuchi, M. Nakatani, N. Yokoyama, S. Hatakeyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10219.

- [3] a) A. B. Baylis, M. E. D. Hillman, Ger. Offen. 1972, 2, 155, 113; Chem. Abstr. 1972, 77, 34174q; M. E. D. Hillman, A. B. Baylis, U. S. Patent 1973, 3,743,669; b) K. Morita, Z. Suzuki, H. Hirose, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1968, 41, 2815.
- [4] a) M. Shi, J.-K. Jiang, Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 4793; b) M. Shi, J.-K. Jiang, Y.-S. Feng, Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2397; c) M. Shi, Y.-S. Feng, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 406; d) M. Shi, J.-K. Jiang, S.-C. Cui, Y.-S. Feng, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 390.
- [5] M. Shi, C.-Q. Li, J.-K. Jiang, Chem. Commun. 2001, 833.
- [6] a) C. E. Maxwell, Organic Synthesis, Vol. 3, 305; b) M. Heilner, Chem. Ber. 1922, 55, 359.
- [7] K. T. Douglas, A. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans II 1983, 131.
- [8] M. V. Baker, C. Ghitgas, R. K. Hayhes, A. E. Hilliker, G. J. Lynch, G. V. Sherwood, H.-L. Yeo, Aus. J. Chem. 1984, 37, 2037.

Received November 16, 2001